# Professional Development Essentials for Educators of Multilingual Learners

## What are the PD Essentials?

These six professional development essentials were created by Understanding Language to assist schools, school districts, state or county offices of education, and professional development providers as they design well-balanced professional development plans for educators of multilingual learners.

These essentials outline an approach to professional learning that is systematic, differentiated, and collaborative. They are aligned to Understanding Language's *Key Principles for ELL Instruction*. They take into account the strengths and supports needed for multilingual learners who encompass a variety of characteristics (e.g., recently arrived, long term \*MLLs, MLLs with disabilities).

The essentials also consider the diverse levels of experience and expertise of educators at any school district. But first and foremost, they place the students themselves at the center of any professional learning plan.

\*We prefer the term multilingual Learners (MLL), which defines students by the linguistic assets that they bring to our classrooms instead of any perceived deficits. EL/ELL is the common term used by states and the federal government as part of accountability. However, EL/ELL can be seen as a deficit term that labels students by what they don't have instead of highlighting their rich cultural and linguistic backgrounds.





## How are the PD Essentials organized?

The six essentials are clustered into three domains so that users can more easily keep in mind the most important aspects of any professional learning plan. For each essential, we provide a short definition as well as sample activities that represent the essential.

#### Students at the Center



Essential 1:

Know your students



Essential 2

Respond to student evidence

### **Define and Enhance Quality Instruction**



Essential 3:

Apply research to practice



Essential 4:

Experience and analyze quality instruction



Essential 5:

Design and enhance educational experiences

### **Think Systemically**



Essential 6:

Develop the systemic conditions that support English learners

#### Students at the Center



Essential 1:

Know your students



Essential 2:

Respond to student evidence

### **Define and Enhance Quality Instruction**



Essential 3:

Apply research to practice



Essential 4:

Experience and analyze quality instruction



Essential 5

Design and enhance educational experiences

### **Think Systemically**



Essential 6

Develop the systemic conditions that support English learners

Taken together, these six essentials can guide designers of professional learning experiences as they plan for their teachers, coaches, and leaders. Of course, any one activity may touch upon a number of these essentials.

For example, teachers might experience a "high support/high challenge" lesson (Essential 4), reflect on the connections between the lesson and what they have learned from research (Essential 3), and then analyze a conversation of two students engaged in an activity from that lesson (Essential 2). These essentials should not be considered in isolation from each other. Rather, they interact and inform each other to insure that professional learning activities address the inherent complexity in effective teaching and learning environments.

We hope these essentials inform systemic approaches to improving educational outcomes for multilingual learners.

#### Students at the Center



**ESSENTIAL 1** 

Know your students

Multilingual learners are not a monolithic group. Educators deepen their understanding of the characteristics of diverse groups of multilingual learners (i.e. recently arrived MLLs, Long Term MLLs, normatively progressing MLLs, MLLs with disabilities, migrants) and the strengths that they bring to school.

- Learn about MLLs' current experience in the classrooms through shadowing an MLL student.
- Read vignettes of differing MLL backgrounds and reflect on the strengths they bring and the supports they may need.
- Consider how a well scaffolded lesson provides access to a wide variety of MLLs.
- Host a panel of current or former multilingual learners to discuss the challenges and supports that were part of their educational experiences.



#### Students at the Center



**ESSENTIAL 2** 

Respond to student evidence

Educators analyze and reflect on student evidence (e.g., student writing samples, conversations, interim assessment results) in order to shift instructional practice, enhance curriculum, and strengthen systemic structures.

- Share a writing sample or oral language transcript with their peers and analyze work using a protocol that addresses the quality of the interaction.
- Aggregate trends from student evidence to determine focal areas for subsequent professional learning.
- Identify areas in current curriculum that can be bolstered to provide stronger supports for students' conversations that build up substantive disciplinary ideas and language.

## **Define and Enhance Quality Instruction**



Apply research to practice

Professional learning requires educators to connect and apply key findings from research (e.g., research on language acquisition, scaffolding, student agency) on the teaching of diverse learners to instructional and assessment practices.

- Analyze and discuss the implications of the shifts required in curriculum, instruction, and assessment for implementation of the content and language standards.
- Identify UL's Key Principles of ELL Instruction in classroom exemplars (e.g. videos, lesson plans, observations).
- Plan lessons/units that incorporate an explicit focus on key disciplinary practices such as argumentation or mathematical reasoning.

## **Define and Enhance Quality Instruction**



Experience and analyze quality

instruction

Educators experience and analyze instructional activities and practices that mutually reinforce learning of language, content, and disciplinary practices. They reflect on how these activities and practices support student learning.

- Observe and analyze instruction through videos and inperson classroom observations.
- Share the successes and challenges they face in implementing effective lessons for MLLs.
- Engage in a high challenge/high support lesson as students and then reflect on how the lesson structure and scaffolding routines supported their simultaneous learning of content, disciplinary practices, and academic uses of language.

## **Define and Enhance Quality Instruction**



Design and enhance educational experiences

Educators apply learnings to the design or enhancement of curriculum, instruction, and assessments.

- Develop or modify a curricular unit with their team to fortify language supports during group activities.
- Enhance instruction (e.g. scaffolded activities, lessons, units) to leverage the strengths and meet the needs of their students.
- Select and modify (where needed) assessments that provide educators with multiple sources of student evidence (e.g. transcripts of student to student conversations).

### **Think Systemically**



### **ESSENTIAL 6**

Develop the systemic conditions that support English learners

Participants improve their systems' support of MLLs by strengthening the connections between quality instruction, inquiry-driven data, and systematic supports at the school and district levels.

- Craft definitions of key instructional terms such as "academic discourse" and "scaffolding."
- Learn about instructional frameworks that provide all educators in the district with a structure of how instruction should be organized (e.g. Three Moments in a Lesson, Teaching and Learning Cycle).
- Identify additional data requests (e.g. percent of Long Term MLLs with disabilities, percent of MLLs taking advanced math sequence) to determine inequities in the system.
- Investigate policies that may be inadvertently leading to worse educational outcomes for MLLs (e.g. higher dropout rates, lower rates of four-year college qualification).



### **Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for their generous support, making this resource possible. We are also appreciative of those who provided key feedback and support--Preetha Krishnan Menon, Jennifer Altavilla, Sofia Gonzalez-Otero, and Magda Chia.

### **Recommended Citation**

Weiss, S., Kuo, A.C. & Zweirs, J. (2019). Professional Development Essentials for Educators of Multilingual Learners. Understanding Language at Stanford. December 12, 2019.

Resources produced by Understanding Language at Stanford University are available electronically at <a href="http://ell.stanford.edu">http://ell.stanford.edu</a>.



This work, created by Understanding Language at Stanford University, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. 2019.





## References

Organized by PD Essential

### **Essential 1: Know Your Students**

### Student Linguistic and Academic Diversity

- Flores, N., & Rosa, J. (2015). Undoing appropriateness: Raciolinguistic ideologies and language diversity in education. Harvard Educational Review, 85(2), 149-171.
- Kitano, M. K., & Espinosa, R. (1995). Language diversity and giftedness: Working with gifted English language learners. Talents and Gifts, 18(3), 234-254.
- Prosser, R. R., & Solano-Flores, G. (2010, May). Including English language learners in the process of test development: A study on instrument linguistic adaptation for cognitive validity. In Annual Conference of the National Council of Measurement in Education, Denver, Colorado, April (Vol. 29).
- Walqui, A., & Heritage, M. (2012). Instruction for diverse groups of ELLs. In Understanding Language Conference, Stanford, CA.

### Long-term MLLs

- Flores, N., Kleyn, T., & Menken, K. (2015). Looking holistically in a climate of partiality: Identities of students labeled long-term English language learners. Journal of Language, Identity & Education, 14(2), 113-132.
- Kibler, A. K., Karam, F. J., Futch Ehrlich, V. A., Bergey, R., Wang, C., & Molloy Elreda, L. (2017). Who are 'Long-term English learners'? Using classroom interactions to deconstruct a manufactured learner label. Applied Linguistics, 39(5), 741-765.
- Menken, K., Kleyn, T., & Chae, N. (2012). Spotlight on "long-term English language learners": Characteristics and prior schooling experiences of an invisible population. International Multilingual Research Journal, 6(2), 121–142.
- Valdés, G. (2005). Bilingualism, heritage language learners, and SLA research: Opportunities lost or seized?. The Modern Language Journal, 89(3), 410-426.



### **Essential 1: Know Your Students**

### **SLIFE** and Recently Arrived

- DeCapua, A., & Marshall, H. W. (2011). Reaching ELLs at risk: Instruction for students with limited or interrupted formal education. Preventing school failure: Alternative education for children and youth, 55(1), 35-41.
- Hos, R. (2016). Caring is not enough: Teachers' enactment of ethical care for adolescent students with limited or interrupted formal education (SLIFE) in a newcomer classroom. Education and Urban Society, 48(5), 479-503.
- Umansky, I., Hopkins, M., Dabach, D. B., Porter, L., Thompson, K., Pompa, D. (2018). Understanding and supporting the educational needs of recently arrived immigrant English learner students: Lessons for state and local education agencies. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

### **ELs with Disabilities**

- Abedi, J. (2014). English language learners with disabilities: Classification, assessment, and accommodation issues. Journal of Applied Testing Technology, 10(2), 1-30.
- Artiles, A. J., Rueda, R., Salazar, J. J., & Higareda, I. (2005). Within-group diversity in minority disproportionate representation: English language learners in urban school districts. Exceptional children, 71(3), 283-300.
- Liu, K. K., Thurlow, M. L., Lickteig, O., & Lazarus, S. S. (2017, September). Meeting the needs of ELs with disabilities in your state: Making EL exit decisions (NCEO Brief #13). Minneapolis. MN: University of Minnesota. National Center on Educational Outcomes.
- Sullivan, A. (2011). Disproportionality in special education identification and placement of English language learners. Exceptional Children, 77(3), 317-334.



## **Essential 2: Apply Research to Practice**

### Curriculum, Disciplinary Practices, and Instruction for MLLs

- De Jong, E. J., & Harper, C. A. (2005). Preparing mainstream teachers for English-language learners: Is being a good teacher good enough?. Teacher Education Quarterly, 32(2), 101-124.
- Fillmore, L. W., & Snow, C. (2002). What teachers need to know about language. In C. T. Adger, C. Snow, & D. Christian (Eds.), What teachers need to know about language (pp. 7–53). McHenry, IL: Delta Systems; Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Gándara, P., & Santibañez, L. (2016). The teachers our English language learners need. Educational Leadership, 73(5), 32-37.
- Zwiers, J., Dieckmann, J., Rutherford-Quach, S., Daro, V., Skarin, R., Weiss, S., & Malamut, J. (2017). Principles for the Design of Mathematics Curricula: Promoting Language and Content Development. Retrieved from Stanford University, UL/SCALE website: http://ell.stanford.edu/content/mathematics-resources-additional-resources.

### Assessment for ELs

- Bailey, A. L., & Carroll, P. E. (2015). Assessment of English language learners in the era of new academic content standards. Review of Research in Education, 39(1), 253-294.
- Chia, M., & Kachchaf, R. (2018). Designing, Developing, and Implementing an Accessible Computer-Based National Assessment System. In Elliott, S., Kettler, R. J., Beddow, P. & Kurtz, A. (Eds.). Handbook of Accessible Instruction and Testing Practices: Issues, Innovations, and Applications. New York: Springer.
- Solano-Flores, G. (2016). Assessing English language learners: Theory and practice. Routledge.
- Heritage, M., Walqui, A., & Linquanti, R. (2015). English language learners and the new standards: Developing language, content knowledge, and analytical practices in the classroom. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.



### **Essential 3: Experience and Analyze Effective Instruction**

### Videos as PD Tools

- Borko, H., Jacobs, J., Eiteljorg, E., & Pittman, M. E. (2008). Video as a tool for fostering productive discussions in mathematics professional development. Teaching and teacher education, 24(2), 417-436.
- Gaudin, C., & Chaliès, S. (2015). Video viewing in teacher education and professional development: A literature review. Educational Research Review, 16, 41-67.
- Koc, Y., Peker, D., & Osmanoglu, A. (2009). Supporting teacher professional development through online video case study discussions: An
  assemblage of preservice and inservice teachers and the case teacher. Teaching and Teacher Education, 25(8), 1158-1168.
- Zhang, M., Lundeberg, M., Koehler, M. J., & Eberhardt, J. (2011). Understanding affordances and challenges of three types of video for teacher professional development. Teaching and Teacher Education, 27(2), 454-462.

### In-Person Observations and Teacher Collaboration

- Hamilton, E. R. (2013). His ideas are in my head: Peer-to-peer teacher observations as professional development. Professional Development in Education, 39(1), 42-64.
- Burbank, M. D., & Kauchak, D. (2003). An alternative model for professional development: Investigations into effective collaboration. Teaching and teacher education, 19(5), 499-514.
- Stewart, C. (2014). Transforming professional development to professional learning. Journal of Adult Education, 43(1), 28-33.
- Lee, O., & Buxton, C. A. (2013). Teacher professional development to improve science and literacy achievement of English language learners. Theory Into Practice, 52(2), 110-117.



### **Essential 4: Design and Enhance Educational Experience**

### Enhancement or Scaffolding of Instruction, Curriculum, and/or Content

- Dixon, F. A., Yssel, N., McConnell, J. M., & Hardin, T. (2014). Differentiated instruction, professional development, and teacher efficacy. Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 37(2), 111-127.
- Belland, B. R., Burdo, R., & Gu, J. (2015). A blended professional development program to help a teacher learn to provide one-to-one scaffolding. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(3), 263-289.
- Walqui, A., & Van Lier, L. (2010). Scaffolding the Academic Success of Adolescent English Language Learners: A Pedagogy of Promise. WestEd.
   730 Harrison Street, San Francisco, CA 94107-1242.

### **Enhancement or Scaffolding of Assessment**

- Abedi, J., & Levine, H. G. (2013). Fairness in Assessment of English Learners. Leadership, 42(3), 26.
- Siegel, M. A., Menon, D., Sinha, S., Promyod, N., Wissehr, C., & Halverson, K. L. (2014). Equitable written assessments for English language learners: How scaffolding helps. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(6), 681-708.
- Thurlow, M. L., & Kopriva, R. J. (2015). Advancing accessibility and accommodations in content assessments for students with disabilities and English learners. Review of Research in Education, 39(1), 331-369.



### **Essential 5: Respond to Student Evidence**

### **Using Classroom Data**

- Fishman, B. J., Marx, R. W., Best, S., & Tal, R. T. (2003). Linking teacher and student learning to improve professional development in systemic reform. Teaching and teacher education, 19(6), 643-658.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2008). Teacher learning that supports student learning. Teaching for intelligence, 2(1), 91-100.
- Mercer, N. (2007). Sociocultural discourse analysis: Analysing classroom talk as a social mode of thinking. Journal of Applied Linguistics and Professional Practice, 1(2), 137-168.
- Ruiz-Primo, M. A. (2011). Informal formative assessment: The role of instructional dialogues in assessing students' learning. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 37, 15–24. doi:10.1016/j.stueduc.2011.04.003.

### **Using Assessment Data**

- Kelleher, J. (2003). A model for assessment-driven professional development. Phi delta kappan, 84(10), 751-756.
- Lyon, E. G. (2017). Exploring secondary science teachers' enactment of assessment practices to reflect responsive science teaching for English learners. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(8), 674-698.
- Shepard, Lorrie A., Penuel, William R., Pellegrino, James W. (2018). Using learning and motivation theories to coherently link formative assessment, grading practices, and large-scale assessment. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice 37 (1): 21–34.



## **Essential 6: Build/Enhance Systemic Supports**

- Borko, H., Jacobs, J., & Koellner, K. (2010). Contemporary approaches to teacher professional development. International encyclopedia of education, 7(2), 548-556.
- Desimone, L. M. (2011). A primer on effective professional development. Phi delta kappan, 92(6), 68-71.
- Molle, D. (2013). The pitfalls of focusing on instructional strategies in professional development for teachers of English learners. Teacher Education Quarterly, 40(1), 101-124.
- Molle, D. (2013). Facilitating professional development for teachers of English language learners. Teaching and teacher education, 29, 197-207.
- Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Yamaguchi, R., & Gallagher, L. P. (2007). What makes professional development effective? Strategies that foster curriculum implementation. American educational research journal, 44(4), 921-958.
- Patton, K., Parker, M., & Tannehill, D. (2015). Helping teachers help themselves: Professional development that makes a difference. NASSP bulletin, 99(1), 26-42.
- Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. American educational research journal, 38(4), 915-945.

